

1 December 1961

TO: Bill B.

FROM: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Specification for Photochemical Solutions Laboratory.

Attached is one copy each of the Specification for a Photochemical Solutions Laboratory, dated 20 November 1961, and the specification for a Photographic Solution Piping installation, dated 27 November 1961. Four additional copies of the former are being sent to you under separate cover. This concludes the effort assigned to us under Assignment III, Technical Directive 1.

When you glance through the specification, you will notice the one major deviation from our original plan. We started with one specification covering both the mix system and the plumbing installation. Because of the stepped up building schedule, we decided to separate these. We now recommend that one contractor manufacture and install the Photochemical Solutions Laboratory, while another installs the piping system. By so doing, we will be able to accommodate the plumbing "need date" of April 1962.

We suggest that you contract the Photochemical Solutions Laboratory with either [REDACTED] whose bids are attached. The piping specification will be turned over to our Washington office and they will see that it's installed in the new building.

With regard to the two bids, if you re-solicit the two companies presumably you will receive a formal version of these submissions. As you can see, the estimated costs and schedules of both companies are very close. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] can complete the installation by 31 August and Technicolor by 1 August. I would say that much of your decision should be based on the contractual history and dependability [REDACTED]. Both companies require a start date of 1 January 1962.

With regard to the Technical Directive, charges against that particular account number will be cut off with the delivery of the specification to you by [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] At this point, it might be advisable to think about having him monitor the project as it goes through manufacturing, installation and check-out. This responsibility is not called out in the Directive. If you would like his assistance, an amending paragraph will have to be added to the existing Directive. If you wish, we can phrase a suggested paragraph and come up with the number of hours it will take to monitor the mix system and the piping.

Declass Review by NGA.

TO: Bill B.

FROM:

-2-

1 December 1961

By copy of this memo I am advising Phil and John that we are delivering the specification to you. This is our recommendation to you. If you concur, I assume that you will forward it to John with your approval or suggestions.

I think Chuck and your fellows did a very fine job on this specification. I think I'm correct in saying that you will have the finest photolab system in the country by the time they are through.

TM/ib

cc:

Alan M.
Ross G.

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002500070018-0

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002500070018-0